UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,520	10/31/2005	Hans Loibner	4518-0108PUS1	3426
	7590 12/10/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	DUFFY, BRADLEY		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/524,520	LOIBNER ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRADLEY DUFFY	1643
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>BRAD DUFFY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Leonard Svensson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 November 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In light of the interview conducted on 8/27/09, the Examiner contacted Mr. Svensson to determine if Applicant still wanted to file a supplemental amendment before examination of the pending claims occurred. Mr. Svensson left a messaage with the Examiner on 12/1/09 that Applicant was not going to file an amendment and to proceed with examination of the pending claims.